

8
G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

DR 94-91-61-15

DR 39-97-41-6

97-151-10-2-41

1921

97 File No. 56-20

Serial Nos 1-15

(97)
Later references.

DR 34-24-6-10

430-29-8-1-4, 15 April 1930

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 15

P. 57
(E-K)
Part B for

October 1921

SUBJECT.

Loans to the members of the Nanda-
gudi Family

To

The Dy Commr. Bangalore

No. 1785 & 21-9-21

States that the safest course to adopt for
the early recovery of Govt dues is to file a suit
in the Court against the members of the Nanda-
gudi Family

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*CA*)

NOTES.

FILE HEADING.

Loans to the members of the Nandagudi family

From

The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District

No. Hc 139 Dated 20-8-1920

Received 24.8.1920

Diary No. 1042

Enclos.

Spare Copies.

Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that his personal Assistant Mr S. Narayana Rao stands transferred to the Office of the Inspector General of Police, Bangalore and that as no personal Assistant has yet been appointed in his place it may not be possible to send up the report within the time fixed by the Government.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

~~File~~

Please put this up at once for orders

(9d). M. A. R. 24-8-1920

Petition dated 25-8-1920 from Govindiah, Insurance

making certain proposals in the matter of the recovery of ^{the loan} amounts due to Government from the members of the Nandagudi family.

Serial
No.

The Statement filed by the Daudan is
flagged A.

The request of the petitioner is

(1) to put up for auction one by one, the
property that belongs to each man (as
recorded at Flag A) & see if the amount
^{due} ~~payable~~ by the particular individual
cannot be realised

(2) In case of an Daudan's property
failing to fetch the debt amount due by him,
the ^{rights over the} property may be transferred to such
another among the Daudans, who offers
to pay up the amount
subscribed for order on serials 1 & 2.

B. S. R.

10/9/20
20/9/20

3 orders are required on
the question as to who should be ~~placed~~
placed on special duty to submit the
report called for.

The petition from Daudan
Gevindiah may be forwarded to the
Dy. Commr. for consideration in connection
with the report called for.

(6) This may be done -


Dewan
17-9-20
A. S. R.
time limit must be
observed
NOTE - Contn. sheet.
21-9-20

(K. S. R.)

10/9/20

13/9/20

Please issue order accordingly & allow
one month or before - 21/9/20



Serial
No.

9 Phase put up draft. Has any
P.A. been now appointed to the Df
(Bangalore)

made
23/9

10) Phase see flag X

11) Draft to the Df. is put up for orders

AKH
23/9

12 Phase resubmit today with
draft as per instructions
on the letter received today
from the Df Bangalore

made
24/9

3

From the Dy Commr. Bangalore

No Hc. 139 d 21-9-1920.

States that the Civil Officer, who has been asked
to look after the duties of Mr. Personal Assistant,
will not be able to cope with the special duty work
of settling the loan affairs of the Nandagudi
family. Suggests that the Sub Division Officer,
Doddaballapur, may be entrusted with this special work,
his absent being ^{permitted to be} ~~subd~~ to Govt within 2 months.

13 The Dy Commr. says that a period
of 2 months is necessary for the report being
furnished. In the G.O. dated 9-8-1920,
the report was required to be furnished within
one month from the date of the order.

Serial
No.

14) Subd with a draft letter for orders

Bsn
24/9-ren 15/9 (H. Grey)
24/9mas
25/9/2012/14
27/916) Done
27-9-20
mas
28/9/20

4.

7c 2570 dated 29-9-1920. to the Deputy Commr.,
Bangalore.Approves of the suggestion made by the S. Division
and ^{direct} ~~add~~ that the report of the S. Division Officer
should be furnished to Govt. before 1-12-20.Also forwards petition dated 25-8-20 received from
Inamdar Govindiah for consideration in connection
with the investigation order.

7c

7c 2633-4 dated 2-10-1920

Copy to the Revenue Commr in Mysore
to the Revenue Dept for information

Bsn

17) The report of the S. Division Officer due
on 1-12-20 is not yet received. Subd for
orders if a remainder was issued.Bsn 18) Phase submit with draft letter
4/127c 19) Draft remain decided up.
6/12Bsn
19/1220) Issue
20/12/20

1704

Serial
No.

5-

Pl 3842 dated 14-12-1920

To the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore

Request to be informed when the report of the

Sub Division Officer due to Govt before 1-12-1920

may be expected in the Secretariat

sus
1572000

6)

from the Deputy Commr

NO. A.C. 139/20-21. D. 4. 1. 21.

forward the detailed report of the Sub
Division Officer, Doddaballapur called for in
the Govt order no Pl 1178-9 of 15-10-20⁸
re the Govt loan due from the Suddars
of Nandagudi.

21) Attention is invited to go no Pl 1178-9
of 15-10-20⁸ dated 9.8.20 calling for a
report in the matter of the liquidation
of loan due by the Suddars of Nandagudi.

22) The points on which the Special Officer
was required to report are:-

(1) Framing an estimate of all properties
mortgaged to Govt including the villages
in the Siddaghatta and the amount likely to be
realised from their sale.

2) Submission of the statement showing
the collections made since the properties
came under Govt management and the
manner of their disposal.

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 56 of 1920.

	Serial No.	
		3) The best method of collecting the arrears of revenue if any. 4) Report on the amount recovered as tree rent. (23) Item 1) Para 2 of the Sub-Division Officers report contains the estimated ^{value} of the several villages as determined by the panchayetsdars and also the capitalised valuation on net revenue as per Amildar's report. The total amount realisable as per estimates of panchayetsdars is 1,52,000. and that as per capitalised valuation is 1,08,940. The Inamdars also have stated that the valuation is fair except in respect of the villages of Medur and Woddanahalli.
Flag A para 21		(24) As regards Item 2) :- The total receipts up to end of 1919-20 is 1,24,111/- and the total expenditure Rs. 1,22,767, as per Amildar's reports; and in this connection the Sub-division ^{officials} ^{That as the Inamdars have not accepted the figures a regular audit is} suggest that an ^{asst} comptroller or an expert auditor be requested to audit the accounts from 1894-1920.
do - para 7		(25) Item 3) : The Statement given in para 7 of the ^{Sub-Divisional Officers} Report discloses that a very large ^{a sum of} amount of Rs. 18,618-7-10.

Serial
No.

1700
1700
(17)

is due to govt. and that ^{for} the greater portion of this arrears of Revenue the Guaidans themselves are responsible and that as it is irrecoverable the same has to be remitted on their Kodagi Shambhogi & private lands proceeded against.

Copy

Para 8

2b) As regards point no. 4. No definite information has been given.

2c) If approved this will be sent to the Comptroller in the first ^{instance} for verification of his opinion in regard to the audit of his accounts and for ^{writing} ~~forwarding~~ the

Subd The file finished by the
no. 110
8 1/2
21 Sub-division officer

1911 The report was furnished
described, I am afraid, much help
the final disposal of the case.

The Sub-division officer suggests -
and the Dy. Commr. supports him -
that the accounts from 1894 to 1920 be audited and put under
to ascertain the exact amount of
the loan and interest due
after crediting the proceeds of
the estate from year to year -

The verification of the loan account.

87

File No.

56

of 1920

Serial
No.

With a view of finding out the correct
amount of principal and interest due
as per treasury khar, acting, has been
made, and the amount due to
govt. in account of both principal
and interest being Rs. 86,300 (vide para
49 of 67-151-Quar.) It is
if any allowance is to be made to
the mandars in account of
their expenses not realized or
incurred, after an examination
of the D.C.B. accounts and other
records relating to the management
of the Mian villages, the finding
has been made by the ~~Revenue~~ ^{Revenue} Officer
~~Revenue~~ and not by an audit
officer as suggested.

2. The information furnished in the
annual print in calendar in the bag
9-8-20 in his is as under.
Point No. 1. The ^{total} ~~estimated~~ value of all the ^{Mian} ~~villages~~ villages managed
govt. is about 1,03,000, ~~taking~~ ^{as} the capitalized value of assessment as
per Amildar, Govt., while according to

Serial
No.

The purchase always minimal, the total valuation would range to 1,30,000 to 1,40,000. What amount ~~is~~ is likely to be realized if the properties are bought ~~at~~ sale; not stated. Considering the margin over the extent of the dues, the need for their realization by bringing the properties back seems imperative - in the interests of both the parties -

Point No. 2 - Statements showing the ~~collecting~~ ~~total~~ receipts and expenditure for the year of 1919-20 have been furnished with ~~an~~ a rider that the accounts require further verification -

Point No. 3. The arrears due to ~~and~~ be collected amount to

16,16-1 rupees from the mandary themselves &

2457 - from privy agents -

The former item is to be reminded ^{made} or other arrangements for its recovery, ~~and~~ which the latter can be ~~recovered~~ collected by the Council dues by paying formal attention. ~~Recovery of arrears - It is suggested also that~~
Point No. 4. The information furnished is not full and clear.

Rebuckford,

T. S. S.

18/12/20
18/12/20

82 File No.

of 1920

Serial
No.

In para 6 of the order of 9.8.20
Govt have communicated their decision
where the mortgaged properties sold
in liquidation of the debt due Govt.,
and it seems very true that the Dy
Commr should take no further
action in the matter, ~~and~~
~~consequently~~

2390
15/1

Yes)

18

14.1.21

Reference is invited to the Dewan's orders
dated 12th July 1920. The Deputy Commissioner's report
obtained in accordance with those orders is submitted
for perusal.

The scrutiny of the accounts of the debtors' properties is, I think, unnecessary. The proposal to conduct such examination is, I think, only an attempt on the part of the debtors to put off the sale of their properties. All amounts realised from the properties have been credited to the loan account and the debtors' contention is in regard to items of revenue to which they are either not entitled or which could not be realised for them by Government.

It is hopeless to expect the debt to be repaid whether the villages continue to be managed by Government or are made over to the debtors for management. There is no prospect of realising Government dues or releasing the debtors from their obligation

without

Serial
No.

Flag A
Para 2 of the
Sub-divisional Officer's
report

Flag A
Para 3 of the
report

Para 7 of
the Report

X page
49-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

without selling the properties. The amount outstanding against the debtors on account of principal and interest is about Rs. 90,000, while the value of the properties estimated at from Rs. 10300 to Rs. 1,40,000. The accumulation of interest is adding to the outstandings and delay in the sale of properties ~~is~~ is to the advantage ~~of~~ neither of Government nor of the debtors.

The debtors are not willing to suggest which of their properties should ~~first~~ be put up to auction. Government will therefore have to decide ~~which of the villages should be taken up for disposal~~ ^{the order in which the} for realising the dues and ~~on~~ this point may be left ~~for the decision of~~ ^{to} the Deputy Commissioner.

The debtors desire that they should be individually dealt with with reference to their shares in the properties. It is undesirable and impracticable to adopt this proposal. The debt is one, so far as the Government is concerned and arrangements for its realisation have to be made on that understanding.

The debtors themselves are the worst -- defaulters in the payment of assessment and as much as Rs. 16,000 is due by them.

I request reference in this connection to page 3 of my note dated 12th September 1919. If the debtors can raise a loan elsewhere and repay the Government loan ^{fully} ~~within~~ ^{one} month, Government may waive half the interest due. Otherwise Government

2989

2865

12

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

47 File No. 56

of 1920.

Serial
No.

Government may proceed to sell the villages in the order they deem fit. If it is decided to dispose of the properties for closing the loan account, the Government Advocate may be consulted as to the line on which further action should be taken.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.

Recd. on JAN 22 1921

Reg. No. 4866.

Dewan

20-1-21

12/1

I agree. Let the matter be
settled

1-2-21 See Plan later further
action

Printed draft 2-2-21
bdc receiving.
giving the last
chances at the
then in the to
but to 3-2-21
10/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial
No.

7

From Mr. Senappa. One of the demand au-
waidagad
Detⁿ dated 29th January 1921.

G. J. 56-20

2865... Dewan on 21/21

Stating that there are several items as
out of Rs. 10000 being the sandy Rev. of the Inam village noted in

for (1892-96) only 38.00-00 has been adjusted

that 20000 Rs. has to be adjusted being

the sale proceeds of sandy trees for subsequent
year at Rs 2-8-0 per tree has yet to be

1500 being the proceeds of waidagadi Kaval

40 Rs. in connection with Tijumra village

8000 Rs. probable arrears due from the ryots

are invested will considerably reduce the

balance debt due to Govt. and requesting

that the Inam village may be kept under

Govt management till they are settled.

Dnot read

21/21

The main file has been submitted to Dewan on 21/21

It is proposed to sell the properties to
liquidate the loan. The petition would
be forwarded to the A.C. Bangalore for
disposal if approved

Subd with draft for approval

mhr

2/2/21

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No.

57

of 1920.

Serial
No.

A draft to the D.C. Bangalore. is put up
on the lines indicated on page 12 of the
file.

A further petition has been recd
from one of the members of the family
alleging that certain items have not
been credited to the loan account
of the family.

This petition is also proposed to be
sent to the D.C. for disposal.

Subs

Mm

The draft to be Bangalore

2640
8/2

2/2

2/2
3/2

may issue receipt of C.A. opinion

14.2.21

P.T.O.

Serial
No.

Jl. W. C. 87-62

Jl. W. C. 87-62

Forwarded to the Govt. advocate with
compliments to the Govt. for favour of
opinion and suggestions - Vide
Secretary's order of 1.2.21 supra
No

Secretary

14.2.21.

Fu Flesy

No. 10999/6202

18.2.21

GEN. & REV. SECY
Jl. Suth
21 FEB 1921

54634 Copy of the mortgage deed is not in
the file. From the information available
in the records put up, it appears to me
that it is only a right of sale that
is reserved to Govt. - But the precise
terms of the mortgage are known it is
too difficult to say whether foreclosure
or sale is the ^{more} appropriate remedy.
In some places in the notes it is stated
that Govt. were in possⁿ of the mortgaged
properties as agents of the mortgagors,
while in other places the rights & liabilities
of Govt. as mortgagors in possⁿ are
discussed. For a few years (between
1895 & 1898) the mortgagors were
in possession as lessees. A copy of the
mortgage deed, & the file containing the
papers relating to the execution & termination

Serial
No.

of the lease may be kindly put
up & the file returned.

17.2.21

Conamunji
Sathar

A copy of the papers ^{leading to} relating to the
mortgage deed are not found in the
file here. In G.O. No. 3574-45 d: 30.8
the Dy. Commr. ^{sdne} was requested to obtain ⁹⁴
the mortgage deeds from the Inamdars
and have them registered. If approved
he will be requested to send a copy
of the mortgage deed for reference ~~return~~
and into draft.

nux

26.2.21

28/2

Issued by

8

H 5432 dated 1-3-1921 to the

Deputy Commr. Bangalore dt—

Asking him to forward a copy of the
mortgage deed Executed by the borrower.

9

From the Dy Commr. Bangalore dt—

States that the mortgage bond is not
in his office nor in the Hoakere Taluk Office

(B)

3037

4206

4765

17

47 File No. 56 of 1920.

Serial
No.

Draft enquiry to the Comptroller is put up.
 B.R. 2874
 Issued 18/1/21
 10. To the comptroller.

H 6577 of 12.5.21

Request that a copy
 of the original mortgage deed executed by
 the Inamdars of the Wandagudi Family ~~for~~
 may be sent to this Sect for reference of
 the Govt Advocate.

26-5-21

From the Compt

11

No. 10405 1723 D. 28.6.21

forwards the mortgage deed executed by the
 Inamdars of Wandagudi Family called for
 in the previous Serial.

Under Secy's order on the P.U.D.

(1) Acknowledge (2) Send to Govt Advocate.

Subd with a draft acknowledging the receipt.

After issue the file will be sent to the Govt
 Advocate as directed.

28/6/21
 mm

The Govt wants further the
 file relating to the Inamdar's
 terminating the lease.
 This has to be sent also

18286

82

File No. 56

of 1920

47687

176

Serial
No.

12

20 one copy

No. 81 7093 @ 29. 6-21

acknowledges receipt of the mortgage deed
& lease deed executed by two members of
Nandagudi ~~town~~ family.

The files leading to the execution &
termination of the lease are flagged D
and V. The original mortgage deed & lease
deed are in the cover below.

Forwarded to the Govt. Advocate
with compliments u.o. for favour of
opinion and suggestions with reference
to his notes dated 17-2-21 on page 16.

W. S. Srinivasulu
For File Secy.
37.2

Serial
No.

No. 1423/1920-22
13.8.1921
XX

The question, how far a power of sale contained in a Mortgage Deed is valid, is a very vexed question of Law. Under ancient Hindu Law the power would seem to be considered valid. As observed in Venkataramaniah V. Aaba Bai 17 Mys. C.C.R.137 at 138 in connection with Mortgages by conditional sale - 'According to Ancient Law of India the contract of Mortgage by conditional sale was enforceable according to its letter and that rule was held applicable in Mysore. (This case has been over ruled in 20 Mys C.C.R.87 (F.B) following 3 Mys. C.C.R.59). After the introduction of British Courts in India and before the passing of the T.P. Act the course of decisions on this question has been divergent. The Bombay High Court held that the power of the Mortgager to sell without intervention of the Court was valid (Vide 2 Bom.17, 2 B.252, though in an earlier case (8 B. H.C.R. (R.C.) 142) a contrary view was held. On the other hand the Calcutta Court held - such a power invalid (1847 S.D.A. 354) though in later cases doubts are expressed regarding this view (Vide 15 B.L.R 28, 23 W.R. 96). The preponderance of authority before the passing of the T.P. Act - therefore seems to be in favour of the validity of such power when properly exercised.

In the Transfer of Property Act the validity of such a power is recognised in S.69 though for obvious reasons its validity is recognised in three specified cases and denied in all others.

After the passing of the Transfer of proper

Serial
No.

of Property Act in British India and before the introduction of the T.P. Regulation in Mysore the Courts in Mysore followed the T.P. Act as a guide in directing in matters of Mortgage what is to be considered consistent with Justice, Equity and good conscience." (Vide 10 Mys. C.C.R.105). It is conceivable therefore that ⁱⁿ cases before the introduction of the T.P. Regulation, the power of sale contained in a Mortgage Deed drafted in English form and where the Mortgagee is the Government of Mysore all the considerations would point to the validity of such a power.

Now the Transfer of Property Regulation has been passed in Mysore. It has omitted S.69 of the T.P. Act. The omission may be taken to be due either to the Legislature not intending to recognize such a power of sale at all or to its not desiring to put an end to the right or to restrict it in any way but rather leave the Courts free to decide whether such a power was valid or not in the circumstances of each case though the former view seems to be a more tenable position.

In this connection one other aspect of the question deserves consideration. Now that the T.P. Regulation is in force in Mysore. S.60 of the T.P. Regulation gives the Mortgagee the right to redeem at any time after the principal money has become payable and Art.148 of the Limitation Regulation prescribes that a suit may be brought against a Mortgagee to redeem within 60 years from the time the right to redeem accrues. (Cf. Also the expression

Serial
No.

in S.56(b) ^{Cause} ~~same~~ the Mortgaged property to be sold' not 'sell the mortgaged property'. Time as provided in S.60 T.P. Regulation the Mortgager may lose the right to redeem by his own act or by order of Court. But the Act contemplated is one subsequent to the Mortgage. It denotes a release or other such transaction standing apart from the Mortgage transaction under which the right of redemption comes into existence. In this case the power of sale conferred by the instrument of Mortgage itself cannot be construed as such an act of the Mortgager as can deprive him of the right to redeem.

This position ~~is as follows~~ may be summed up thus:-

1. There is no express legislative authority either recognising or denying the validity of a power of sale without the intervention of Court conferred by a Mortgage Deed on the Mortgager.

2. S.69 of the T.P. Act expressly recognising such a power in certain cases and denying it in other cases is omitted in the Mysore T.P. Regulation.

3. ~~Attention Hindu Law recognised the validity of such a power and~~ Judicial pronouncements prior to the passing of the T.P. Act. are conflicting as regards the validity of such a power though on the whole the tendency was to recognise it when properly exercised.

4. A consideration of S.60 of the T.P. Regulation and Art.148 of Limitation Regulation would seem to imply that the right to redeem cannot be extinguished by such a clause in the Mortgage Deed and except in manner provided in S.60 of the Regulation.

For a Mortgagee therefore to sell the properties himself without the intervention of the Court and without the express concurrence of the Mortgager at the time of the sale is under the present state of

4765

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

G F File No. 56 of 1920

Serial
No.

of Law a course attended with certain risks though it is quite conceivable on general grounds that in the case of such a power being exercised by the Government the risk would be far less than in the case of an ordinary person.

I would therefore venture to suggest to the Government the desirability of filing a suit for the recovery of the amounts due on the Mortgage Deed. In making this suggestion I am not unaware of the necessary delay in the realizing of the monies, the trouble and the expense that will be involved in adopting such a course.

Ramanujam
13.8.21.

Sent file

Subd for orders. Recently the propriety of the original mortgage transaction has been questioned in respect of certain properties covered by the mortgage & a report has been called for in the matter from the Dy Commr, Bangalore.

TSA
15/8

P.T.C.

Serial
No.The Nandagudi Family Loan.

In accordance with orders passed, the Government Advocate was consulted as to the lines on which further action should be taken in this case. For reasons stated in his note dated the 13th instant, he suggests the desirability of filing a suit for the recovery of the amounts due on the mortgage bond, ^{in the} ~~in~~ the express consent of the mortgagors cannot be obtained to sell the mortgaged properties, without the intervention of the Courts. Considering the conflicting interests ^{involved (1)} of the several mortgagors and their subsequent vendees who have got some ⁽²⁾ ~~interest~~ ^{inchoate right} or other in the mortgaged properties, I am afraid, the Government Advocate's suggestion has to be accepted.

Before, however, taking this final step, one more chance may be given to the mortgagors for raising ~~a~~ if possible; a loan elsewhere and repaying the Government loan within ^{say,} one month, and if they do so, ~~which is rather unlikely~~ - Government may waive half the interest due to date.

A draft to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore is accordingly put up for favour of approval.

Dewan)

18/8/21

Please submit to the Loans Committee for their opinion.

18-8-21

18/8/21

DEWAN'S OFFICE

Recd. on AUG 16 1921

Reg. No. 6078

Serial
No.

1326

I agree with the first proposal - the safest
course is I think to file a suit as suggested
by the first proposal.

21/5/21
18/2/20

Dewan
This evening

576
23/8

25.8.21
1823/0

DEWAN'S OFFICE.

Recd. on AUG 26 1921

Reg. No. 1300.

Acers being away since
27.8.21

Please put up draft
29.8.21

Draft - submitted.

641

30/8

Desury

18
5/9/20

Done
17.9.21
17/2

13. To. The D. B. Bangalore
The H 1785 & 21.9.21.

Copy
to Govt
Copy to Rev
Seeth.

States that the
safest course to adopt for the early recovery
of Govt dues is to file a suit in the
Court against the claimants of the
Narasimha Family.

11765
11768

25

Serial
No.

114

From the Dy Commr. Bangalore Dt-
No Hc 139 d 27-9-1929

Requests that the file of records relating
to the mandas of the Kandagudi family
together with the original mortgage deed
may be returned to him.

The papers sent
from the P.C.S.
Office are kept
in the file below

Subd. with a draft returning

B.S.R.
399

The records should be carefully classified
before the required papers are sent to the
Dy. Comr. and they must be sent in a
sealed cover. Draft may be approved.

T.S.R.
399

yes

30/9

The records are
in the
original form

15

Sl 2132 dated 5-10-1929

to the D.C. Bangalore Dt-

Forwards the records, as requested by him
in final 14.

Various papers
as well as the
land dated
1-7-1929

~~11765~~
11768
24/10/29

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

Dated Bangalore, 20th August 1920.

No.

From

M.A. Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

M.N. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

DIARY No.

1910	-	1911
Section.	C 7	
File No.	56-20	
Serial No.		

Sir,

With reference to Government order No. F1.1178-9/GF.151-10. dated 5th August 1920 re the adjustment of the loan due to Government from the Inamdars of Nandagudi family in Hoskote Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of the notes submitted by my personal Assistant Mr. S. Narayana Rao in the matter and to state that it may not be possible to send up the report earlier within the time fixed by the Government, as Mr. S. Narayana Rao stands transferred to the office of the Inspector General of Police, Bangalore, and as no Personal Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner has yet been appointed in his place.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

M.A. Narayana Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

L.S.R.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dk

To

The Financial Secretary
to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated

Bangalore

Despatched

23.8.20

Received

General No.

No.

He 139

Enclosure No.

one

He has put this up
at once for order
24/8

Re the adjustment
of the loan due to
Government from the
Inamdar of Naneg
family in Hoskote

N O T E.

Submitted:-

Having regard to recent orders posting me as office Assistant to the Inspector General of Police, it has to be kindly considered as to whom this work has to be entrusted.

2. Being of a rather complicated nature, it may not be possible to finish the enquiry within a month and submit a report.

3. As a time limit has been given, a reference may be necessary to Government.

Sd/- S.Narayana Rao,

Personal Assistant
to the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

"C O P Y"

M. A. Narayana Rao

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore.

....

as

A O B G:--

Government Notification No. 216/O.B. 18-18-38
dated 9th August 1938 transferring Mr. S. Narayana Rao,
Personal Assistant to Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dis-
trict, to the Police Department.

O P & O No. 2235

CB 9-9-38

Bangalore, dated 23rd August 1938.

Pending further orders in the matter, the S.D.
Officer, Bangalore District, will in addition to his
duties, do the work of the personal assistant to the
Deputy Commissioner.

For Chief Secretary to Govt

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

The Comptroller to Government.

29

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 21st September '20.

No.

From

M.A. Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

DIARY No.

1920 - 1921
Section. 67
File No. 56-20
Serial No. 3

To

M.N. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office No.H.C.139 of 1920-21 dated 23rd August 1920, I have the honor to state that no officer has been appointed in place of Mr.S.Narayana Rao, who was relieved on the 6th instant.

2. The Civil Officer who has been asked to look after the duties of my Personal Assistant, in addition to his own duties as Civil Officer and Sub-Division Officer of Bangalore Taluk, if placed on special duty to settle the affairs of loan due from the Inamdars of Nandagudi family will not be able to cope with the work.

3. In the absence of a special officer, I suggest that the special work may kindly be entrusted to the Sub-Division Officer, Doddballapur Sub-Division. If this arrangement is approved he may be able to submit his report within two months along with the work of his sub-Division.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

M.A. Narayana Iyengar

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

L.S.R.

From

The Deputy Commissioner

To

Bangalore Sh.

The Financial Secretary

Dated

to the Govt of Mysore

Despatched

22nd Sept 1901 Bangalore

Received

General No.

No.

He 139th 1901

Bo. 21

Enclosure No.

Re - the liquidation
of the outstanding
balance of loan
by the Nandagouda Family
to Government -

These Submits to
the Government
for their consideration
and approval
1901/10/19

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

the

191

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Section 67

File No.

Serial No.

No.

76257

To

Genl Deputy Commr. Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. He 1 dated 21-9-20; stating that the C. O. who has been asked to look after the duties of your Personal Asst. in addition to his own duties of his office will not be able to cope with the work of settling the loan affairs of the mandars of the Nandapur family and suggesting that the Sub. Division Office of Doddaballapur be ~~over~~ over.

Kel 1/100

may be entrusted with this
special work and stating
that he would be able to
submit his report within 2 months as he has to ^{do} this work
along with the work of his sub division,

I am directed to inform

you that Govt ~~has~~
approved your ~~proposed~~ suggestion

2. The report of the sub division officer

should be ^{furnished} submitted to Govt by 18th December 1920.

~~within the time limit of 2 months.~~

~~I have~~
A petition dated 25-8-20 received
from Dhandan Govindiah is herewith forwarded
for consideration in connection with the report to be furnished to Govt,
Mysore ~~and~~ ^{the} ~~the~~

I have etc

List of enclosures.

Recd
27-9-20
Hil Seal

25/9/20

24/9

No 702633-4

22/10/20

Copy to the Revenue Commission for information
" to the Revenue Secretariat " " "

Copied by

Examined by

29/9

32

Extra copy
GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT.

Bangalore, Dated.....

No. Fl.

From

M. N. KRISHNA RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

M.A. Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. H.C. 139 dated 21st September 1920, stating that the Civil Officer who has been asked to look after the duties of your Personal Assistant in addition to his own will not be able to cope with the special work of settling the loan affairs of the Inamdars of the Nandagudi Family and suggesting that the Sub Division Officer of Doddaballapur may be entrusted with this special work and stating that he would be able to submit his report within two months as he has to do this special work along with the work of his Sub Division, I am directed to inform you that Government approve of your suggestion.

2. The report of the Sub Division Officer should be furnished to Government before 1st December 1920.

3. A petition dated 25th August 1920 received from Inamdar Govindiah is herewith forwarded for consideration in connection with the investigation ordered.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Financial Secretary to Government.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section 47

File No. 56-20

Serial No. 5

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the 191 .

No. 23842

14 Dec 20

To The Dy Commr. Bangalore

Date of issue 14/12/20

Signed by W. Subbarayaiah

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No. H 2570 dated 29-9-1920 entrusting the Sub Divn Officer of Doddaballapur with the special work of settling the loan affairs of the members of the Nandappa Family and directing the submission of the Sub

Division Officer's report to Govt before 1-12-20, I to request to be ^{kindly} informed when the said report may be expected ~~to be received~~ in the office.

over. I have the

13/12

Bsn 1912

11/12/20
for the Secy

4756206
19 34

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Financial Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Itc 139

20.2.6

one file

Re - the liquidation
of Govt loan due
from the Demand
of Nandajudi
family. Pub. V. No.

M. K.

35—

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

Dated Bangalore, 4th January 1921

2865—

He 139. Received
20-21 one file

From

M.A. Narayana Iyengar, Bsc., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

20

221

37

66-20

To

M.H. Krishna Rao, Bsc., B.L.,

6

Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government order No.F1.1178-9/G.F.151-10 dated 9th August 1920, re the liquidation of Government loan due from the Inamdars of Nandagudi Family, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of the report received from the Sub-Division Officer, Dodballapur Sub-Division, together with its enclosures.

As suggested by the Sub-Division Officer it is necessary to get the accounts from 1894 to 1920, audited by an expert auditor to ascertain the exact amount of loan and interest due to Government, after crediting the proceeds from year to year.

Though the administration of these villages is not wholly satisfactory yet the present Amildar of Hoskote Taluk has shown better progress and has reduced the arrears to a great extent.

As the Inamdars themselves are responsible for the major portion of the arrears it may not be possible to recover it without selling the mortgaged properties.

The entire Vernacular records are herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

M.A. Narayana Iyengar

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

Office of the Assistant Commissioner

Dodballapur Sub Division

Dated Bangalore the 28th November 20

From

A. Krishnaswami Iyengar Esquire B.A.,

Assistant Commissioner

Dodballapur Sub Division.

M. A. Narayana Iyengar Esquire B.A., B.L.

Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District.

With reference to your communication No. H.C. 139/20-21 dated 5-10-20 forwarding copy of letter No. 2570/GF56-20 dated 29-9-20 from the Financial Secretary to the Government and asking me to enquire and report on all the points required in C.O. No. F.L. 1173-9/G.F. 151-10 dated 9-3-26, I have the honor to submit as follows:-

2 (1) The 1st point in the Government Order is Valuation of Villages.

I put myself in communication with the Amildar of the Hoskote Taluk, the Sub Divisional Assistant Commissioner, Chintamani, and the Amildar of the Sidlaghatta Taluk. I visited for assessing the valuation these three villages. The leading ryots also were present. They were all informed of the Area Pevnuue etc of the villages and questioned as to the value of the villages. The following table gives the value as fixed by the Panchayetdars and that capitalized from the Pevnuue as it is general to do so.

No.	Name of the village	Date of visit	Extent	Assessment	Valuation By panchayetdars	Capitalized value after deduction of net revenue as per report.
	Dalasigero	20-10-20	1391-6	1535-12-0	15000-0-0	14000-0-0
	Chiddanahalli	Do	382-7	1014-8-0	2000-0-0	1500-0-0
	Chidambanahalli	Do	225-17	444-12-0	5000-0-0	3000-0-0

Name of the village	Date of my visit	Extent	Assessment	Valuation by pancha yetdars	Valuation by pancha yetdars
Medur (Pech)	20-10-20	524-58	800-0-0	3000-0-0	4000
Tatsandra	21-10-20	958-0	1395-12-0	40000-0-0	14000
Goddahalli pura	Do.	557-12	843-4-0	12,000-0-0	15,000
Vankatapura	Do.	207-13	266-0-0	3,000-0-0	4,500
Mandagudi lavali lands	22-10-20	45-11	47-8-0	2000-0-0	1,000
Madupanaka Kallalli Koyamgutta	21-10-20	1474-35	1218-8-0	50,000 to 40,000	71,400

3 On 21st and 22nd October the Inamdars were questioned as to what they had to say about the valuation of those villages. They said that all were fair except those of Medur and Goddahanalli which they said would be 4000 and 10,000 respectively. I next questioned them what villages might be sold for clearing up the arrears of loan due to Government. They said that the arrears as per Comptroller's figure were not correct, that the accounts should be settled in their presence by reference to documents they have with them and by collecting the arrears of the villages and crediting the same towards the loan and that their signature should be obtained for the accounts so settled. They further stated that they were divided, some enjoying $\frac{1}{2}$ share some $\frac{1}{4}$ share etc that each used different portions of the loan amount, that the revenue of their share in villages will have to be calculated and credited towards their shares of loan and when the remainder to be paid by each is settled, his share should first be sold for his arrears before proceeding against those of others. They say that when the loan was issued, Sir K. Sheshadri Iyer saw that they had right to the Excise Revenue and noted the same, on the hypothecation document in red ink that the loan should be cleared by crediting the Excise Revenue due to them but that it has been decided against them and that they were going to approach H.H. the Maharaja and the Dewan Sahib to have it now

4. I next questioned them how they were enjoying the than villages etc (1) Soenappa (2) Maddu Rao (3) Govindiah (4) Tulasamma and (5) Ierassappa admitted as follows:-

No.	Village	Share	Shareholders
1	Dalsigore	Equal	Soenappa and Govindappa
2	Siddanahalli	Full	Maddu Rao nephew of Soenappa
3	Goddalahallipura	Full	Venkatacholiah nephew of Soenappa
4	Ittesandra		
5	Voddanahalli	Equal	Venkatkrishniah and Govindiah
6	Madur	Full	Venkatakrishniah bin Hanumanthappa nephew of Soenappa
7	Venkatapur		
8	Madupanaikanahalli	Full	Soenappa
9	Burgadekaval	Full	Venkatacholiah

5. As regards the loan amount used by each they said that the persons that were with the Comptroller would give clear idea of the same.

6. The 2nd point in the Government Order is as to the Administration of these properties.

A perusal of the statements submitted by the Amildars of the Hoskote and the Sidlaghatta Taluks accompanying this communication marked A and B by me will show the Salwar D.C.B. of the several properties and the total D.C.B. The total receipt up to the end of 1919-20 is said to be Rs 124111/- including Madupanaikanahalli village and the total expenditure Rs 122767/- in Dupes according to the Amildars and I am afraid the Comptroller's figures may be different from these. As I have jurisdiction of 4 Taluks and as some Taluk or other will be ready shortly I may not have time enough to verify the accounts salwar. Hence I beg to suggest that either an Assistant Comptroller or an expert Auditor should audit these accounts from 1894 to 1920.

The Administration is not creditable to the Amildars concerned as they have not bestowed proper attention then and there for the collection. Thanks to Mr. G. Haraniah Amildar of Hoskote who has recovered a very large amount of the arrears due from the ryots in the Hoskote Taluk. The same may apply to Madupanaikanahalli village.

7 The proposal of the D.C.B. given by the Amildars Salwar will show that a regular auditing is very necessary as the Inamdars have not accepted the figures.

7 The 3rd point in the Government Order is about the arrears due and how to collect the same. The Amildars' statements show it Salwar and Villagewar (C and D). The following table E shows the total arrears outstanding (a) against the Inamdars themselves and (b) against the ryots.

No.	Village	Due by Inamdars No. of Kulas Amount	Due by ryots No. of kulas Amount	Total No. of kulas Amount
1	Dalasigere	6 1622-4-10	50 300-2-7	56 2250-7-0
2	Siddanahalli	2 1752-4-1	7 97-7-6	9 1849-7-7
3	Moddanahalli	5 1830-2-9	8 52-2-8	11 300-7-1
4	Madur	2 673-7-8	2 138-14-0	4 212-5-0
5	Attasandra	4 1275-2-4	13 387-9-5	17 2562-11-1
6	Ceddalahalli pur	4 1750-5-0	23 384-3-3	27 2134-8-3
7	Venkatapura	1 3070-10-8	8 706-12-11	9 3776-7-0
8	Mandagudikaval		1 540-0-0	1 540-0-0
9	Madupenaika halli	3 5033-12-1	10 305-8-2	22 5339-2-1
	Total	25 12131-1-5	131 2457-5-5	156 14588-7-7

The arrears are heavy in each village especially in Madupenahalli of Siddaghatta Taluk and Venkatapura of Hoskote Taluk. They are mostly due by Inamdars only. As the Inamdars themselves are responsible for the greater portion it is irrecoverable and so it has to be remitted or their Kodagi Shanbhogi and private lands have to be proceeded against. The small sum remaining to be recovered from the ryots of Hoskote and Siddaghatta Taluks should be recovered by Amildars concerned early by paying personal attention.

8 Lastly as regards Excise Revenue of these villages, I have to repeat what the Hoskote Taluk Amildar has stated in his report. Before 1910 the tree rent recovered was at the rate of annas 4 per tree and subsequently upon the complaint of the Inamdars the tree rent has increased as the Inamdars themselves negotiated for the revenue.

The statement E accompanying Hoskote Amildar's report gives reasons

information of trees tapped in the Inam Villages but not of the
amount realized whereas the Siddlaghatta Amildar says that there was no
Excise Revenue given to the Inamdar at all. The Hoskote Amildar is not
able to say the tree rent recovered. The District Excise Officers of
Bangalore and Kolar and Amildar Siddlaghatta were addressed and no reply
is yet received. — *Since received - May. W*

From the Amildars' statement it is seen that 7731 trees were tapped
before 1910 at 4 annas per tree and 5802 trees subsequently. He says that
the tree rent for the latter ranged from 1-8-0 to 1-12-0 per tree. Now
the amount that could have been realized for the former trees can be
easily calculated say at 1-8-0 per tree.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant
sd/- A. Krishnaswami Iyengar,
Assistant Commissioner
Doddballapur Sub Division.

S.I.

"C e p y"

M. N. S. Rao
4.1.21

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Statement showing the details of aineas Sakhar and Sidlaghatta Taluk of the Kolar District since the year

41

Name of village.	Year	Aineas									
		Due from Zamindars on their own Khate		Due from Ryots		Excise		Total		Land revenue including Kodige Zam	Kodige Zam
		Number	Amount	Number	Amount	Number	Amount	Number	Amount		
Nadipinayakkanahalli	1898-99								174 9 9		
	1899-00								358 9 8		
	1900-01								386 11 4		
	1901-02								652 4 5		
	1902-03								953 10 1		
	1903-04	1	415 7	2	39 5			3	454 12	428	
	1904-05	3	973 43 4	4	64 6 3			7	1037 10 6	1001 15	
	1905-06	3	1028 139 22	148 8 11				25	1177 6 8	1090 6	
	1906-07	3	1276 8 10 6	86 12				9	1363 4 10	1234 13	
	1907-08								1794 9 5		
	1908-09								2534 9 1		
	1909-10								3210 15 8		
	1910-11								3759 12 7		
	1911-1912	3	3071 15 10	294 13 3				13	3365 14 8	2912 14 5	
Hayanahalli	1912-13	3	3611 15 1 14	288 6 8				17	3900 5 9	3405 10 9	
	1913-14	3	4165 33 14	279 9 1				17	4444 12 4	3912 8 7	
	1914-15	3	4764 9 6 9	247 12				12	5012 5 6	4396 11 3	
	1915-16	3	3239 3 11	273 2 11				14	3512 3 2	3039 12 2	
	1916-17	3	3698 6 11	278 14 11				14	3977 4 11	3457 11 8	
	1917-18	3	4190 5 14	334 10 1				17	4524 10 6	3928 10 8	
	1918-19	3	4585 5 7 13	354 15 8				16	4940 5 3	4290 3 9	
	1919-20	3	5033 12 1 19	365 6 2				22	5399 2 3	4711 9 2	

N.B. (1) Notice registers for 1898-99 to 1906-07 have been destroyed, hence column will be made and blank filled up.

(2) Since the accounts for 1898-99 to 1902-03 and 1907-08 to 1910-11 are with the

Babwan detail			Steps taken				Suggestions for speedy recovery	
			Notices issued		Sale procla- mations			
Miscellaneous as per Ghobran	Other items	Total	Number	Amount	Number	Amount		
		174 9 9						L 28 11 20
		358 9 8						
		386 11 4						
		652 4 5						
		953 10 1						
26 12		454 12						
35 11 6		1037 10 6						
87 6 3		1177 6 8						
129 3 7		1363 4 10						
		1794 9 5						
		2534 9 1						
		3210 15 8	15	3155 7 5	8	3090 5 3		
		3759 12 7	2	29 10	1	26 13 8		
453 3		3365 14 8	10	3420 15 11	6	3392 14		
494 11		3900 5 9	18	4376 11 9	3	159 15 2		
532 3 9		4444 12 4	23	4721 2 7	9	4633 7 10		
615 10 3		5012 5 6						
472 7		3572 3 2						
579 9 3		3977 4 11						
595 15 10		4524 10 6	13	4491 12 6	3	3832 9 2		
650 1 6		4940 5 3	10	4298 4 4	10	4298 4 4		
687 9 1		5399 2 3						Vide overleaf.

L
 28 11 20

Vide overleaf.

could not be filled up. Registers for 1914-15 and 16-17 are not forthcoming in the office. A search
 has been made and he is absent, columns could not be filled up.

Manoj Kumar

11.11.1920

Amil Kumar

Balance on 30 th June 1920 as per Kirlawan Vekipatti	Rs 5399-2-3
Debit amount to be held in abeyance on account of	Rs 2664-6-
i Remission to be granted to lands submerged in Yamunapur Tank bed	2408-10-
ii Remission to be granted for Keralaka Islands Tanbanja	187--
iii Remission to be granted for Kelayukki Islands Tanbanja	68-12-
Total	<u>2664-6-</u>
Balance to be actually collected	Rs 2734-12-3

Details for Rs 2734-12-3	
i Dns from landowners on their own Khatas	2598-0-1
ii Ryots	136-12-2
Total	<u>2734-12-3</u>

Steps taken for Rs 2734-12-3

i Dns from landowners to be held in abeyance as per their request since they say they have to get Rs 5250/- from Govt as Compensation for their wet lands submerged in Yamunapur Tank bed	2598-0-1
ii Amount promised to be recovered and credited during the current month	51-10-8
iii Amount to be remitted since the defaulters are dead and have no heirs	48-5-10
iv Co-ercive measures taken for recovery	36-11-8
Total	<u>Rs 2734-12-3</u>

11-11-1920

Maryam
Amil dan

and Expenditure of Kayamgutta Nadpura Kanakara village in the 1898-1899 to 1919-1920

Expenditure								Remarks
Year	Internal	Repay-ment of loan	Adjust-ment of Janag-merit	Primal to draw	Proces-see	Any other payment	Total	
1898-99			512 3 11				512 3 11	Rs 838-6-7 due to Govt.
1899-00			484				484	Amount sent to end of
1900-01			700 6 9		1 12		702 2 9	1897-98 has not been
1901-02			403 10 6				403 10 6	brought under Demand
1902-03			676 6 4				761 2 4	column but the same
1903-04			1163 12 6		11 8		1260 6	has been adjusted out of
1904-05			273 5 2				358 1 2	The collections in the
1905-06			698 13 10		4 8		788 1 10	expenditure column.
1906-07			931 5 8		4 8		1020 9 8	
1907-08			410 7 5		1		496 3 5	
1908-09			148 8 4				233 4 4	
1909-10			340 1 11				424 13 11	
1910-11			316 3		1 12		402 11	
1911-12			1200 3 4		1 4		1286 3 4	
1912-13			397 1 10				481 13 10	
1913-14			749 8 10		1 8		835 12 10	
1914-15			261 12 4		11 12 9		358 5 1	
1915-16			2385 9 1		5 9		2434 14 10	
1916-17			365 4 9				450 9	
1917-18			315 11 7				400 7 7	
1918-19			290 8				374 12 8	
1919-20			256				340 12	
1920-21			1345 9 9		39 14 6		14810 4 3	
1921-22			491 6 5				576 2 5	Actual expenditure for year

Explanatory means overleaf.

Manayana
Amildar

11.11.1920

1. Collection

The total under this head is Rs 15316-3-6 and is to be calculated thus:-

1. Cash collections credited to the Treasury	Rs 14810-4-3
2. Remission adjusted to Khatas	Rs 505-15-3
Total	Rs 15316-3-6

Since the cash amount credited to the Treasury is the amount that is to be utilized for expenditure and remissions adjusted to Khatas does not come under collections so far as expenditure is concerned, this amount of Rs 505-15-3 has been deducted and the cash amount of Rs 14810-4-3 has been brought under expenditure.

2. Balance.

i. The balance outstanding on 1st July 1898 was Rs 838-6-7 but this has not been taken into account in the Demand side in 1898-99 but the amount has been ^{collected in subsequent years and} brought under expenditure side out of the collections.

ii There is a difference of Rs 5-7-10 in the balance between the years 1898-99 and 1911-12 thus

(a) 1898-99 to 1903-04	5-4-8
(b) 1907-08 to 1911-12	3-2
Total	5-7-10

This has somehow been corrected in 1912-1913 and the balance on 1st July 1920 tallies with that of the different defaulters' Khatas. This difference could have been traced out and explained if the accounts for this period were ready in the office. The Shamlof has been asked to produce the accounts and explain the difference.

3. Expenditure

Expenditure under adjustment towards the dues to Govt came up to Rs 13245-9-9 and the actual amount to be adjusted on this account is of Rs 11383-1-1 as follows:-

1. Quittance from 1898-99 to 1913-14 at Rs 474-12-3 p. 16 yrs is	Rs 7596-4-0
2. " " 1914-15 to 1919-20 at Rs 491-6-5 p. 6 yrs is	Rs 2948-6-6
3. Amount on 1 st July 1898	Rs 838-6-7
Total dues to Govt	Rs 11383-1-1

Deducting this amount from that shown under the head, a sum of Rs 1862-8-8 is available to be adjusted either towards the interest on the loan granted or towards the principal amount as may deem fit. The

difference in the amount shown between 1 and 2 is that of Railway cess introduced in the year 1914-1915.

Manayappa

11.11.1920

Amildar

22251 45
Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,

Dated Bangalore, 17th January 1921.

No.....
From

M.A.Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

M.N.Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No.H.C.139 of 20-21 dated 4th
instant, re the adjustment of loan amount due to Government from
the Inamdars of Nandagudi family, I have the honor to submit here-
with the statement showing the number of toddy trees licensed for
the years from 1899 to 19-20 for the marginally noted eight Inam

1. Dalasagere,
2. Siddanahalli,
3. Giddalahalli,
4. Ittasandra,
5. Vaddahalli,
6. Meduru,
7. Venkatapura,
8. Durgadakaval.

villages received from the District Excise officer
Bangalore District.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

MA. Narayana Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

MA.

L2989

58461

19.

From

The Deputy Commissioner

To

Bangalore Sh

The Financial Secretary

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Re - the adjustment
of loan amount due
to Govt from the
Inamdar of Nandya
Havaly.

Placed in
in the
12/1/21

ఇది గ్రామీణుల ధర్మార్థ వ్యయమును తెలుపుచున్నది

ఇది గ్రామీణుల ధర్మార్థ వ్యయమును తెలుపుచున్నది

సంఖ్య	గ్రామీణుల పేరు	1899-00	1900-01	1901-02	1902-03	1903-04	1904-05	1905-06	1906-07	1907-08	1908-09	1909-10	1910-11	1911-12	1912-13	1913-14	1914-15	1915-16	1916-17	1917-18	1918-19	1919-20	మొత్తము
1	దళసిగిరి	570	95	240	215	60	215	292	111	399	407	150	340	131	259	80	406	145	807	332	269	5523	
2	వల్లభిపూర్	90	25	100	160	200	60	190	85	213	135	140	130	62	78	51	89	200	2008				
3	మేలమంజు	80		260	50	45	150	17	65				58	106			73	50	152	1106			
4	కేళహస్తి	18												27			1	14		60			
5	ఇది సమస్తము	290	50	138		275	57	109		150			185		62		37	67			1420		
6	నీడపూర్	360	412	363		450	90	358	431	110	415		350	76	118	32	164	125	1	300	470	4625	
7	సిద్ధిపూర్		120							5				14			8	27			174		
8	మొత్తము																						
	మొత్తము	1408	702	841	635	510	830	812	841	799	1202	350	140	690	594	572	252	629	497	939	832	891	14916

19-1-1921

Minister
19-1-21
In Defaul of Census Commissioner
Bangalore District

47

52

56-20

ప్రేమలీల త్రుమిమహాలి సలల సునానా
 బలదనూ రవర త్పనా విజ్ఞియల్ నీత్ర పరనా
 ఓ బరవరే వన్ని ధా నత్ర —

ఇది సర్దుంబు తిని ప్రాంత ద్వీపకల్పా'లో శాస్త్రాలోనం దానాద బ్లా
దర్శనాలో వచ్చిన నాం దానం దానాద శివ బోధులం తాదా
లోని—

నా ప్రకృతి శోరణి మంఠనల్ల యోగి మం బలగు వరే దహింబుల్ల
 నాం గ్రామము శోరణి లుక్కు కి నందలు గ్రామము ఇతర ప్రకృతి
 వల్ల నంద యోగి మం బలగు తిలంబు వేదా లోప దిగ్గోరణి
 శోరణి ద్రుష్టు వమం అ రతం మం తిల శ్చిరే.

అదంతే జేరితిరా మనస్ శంకితులగు 1892 నేటికి
అంతా ము, 1896 నేటికి వందలకు పై అవధి కల్గి 1000.0 ఖాతా, కేల్ల
కిరూం కొలుగులు లాభ క్తి అయితి. మూలం బలగా నల్ల 3800.00
రూం కొలుగులు మూలం క్ర యోగము లచ్చి లక్షకు పూరితిక్రమ
బాంకి మూలం బలగా అమూలనత్వ పైతి. మూలం బలగా నల్ల 3800.00
బరతకందు అమూలనత్వ పైతి.

బరితెగింపు అనునది ముద్రా

మూలము గలగల వివరములు కంట్రాక్టు దాఖలులలో
0-4-0 అగ్నేయంకోమాలకి తోగిడులూంతు పూర్వము వ బాబ్బినబ్బ
నమ్మగళిద్దా దినమేలలో 1900 నేనన్దియట్లు నైరరన్న నాంధ్రా మగ్గ
కంట్రాక్టు దాఖలులలో తరగ్గ మర బందవు 2-8-0 వలెంగ
వనూం లోమం త్రాచే. నా బోవలూంతు తనై పూ తున్న పరమి వేదో
రమల కంట్రాక్టు తో అనుల చాత్రి కృష్ణం కంట్రాక్టు నమ్మ గళి న్దు డాన్
కంట్రాక్టు తో - అదరంతో నాంధ్రా బం రద్దరింద 1909 నేనన్దియట్లు
లోపది నాంధ్రా అదరంతో అదరవతల్ల మేల లోరంద న్దు డాన్దు నశి అ
జిగి కంట్రాక్టు ద్వితీ కంం బరి తో న్దు డాన్దు నాం దాఖలులూం త
రం దరదంతో పరత్తు పరత్తు వళియంతో వనూం లోమం త బోవేండు
కృష్ణం ద్వితీ కంం బరి తో న్దు డాన్దు నాం దాఖలులూం ద్వితీ కంం బరి తో న్దు డాన్దు

[illegible]

హిందూదేశము యందు వర్ష వరం బరిశోధించు కరికె
లనేమారు వరము యొక్క కోరికను నా ప్రాముఖ్యము
మృగీకరింప నట్లే రక్షణదాని ప్రాధివ్యక్తి మహిమ.

১৯৪৭ সালের ১২ই আগস্ট
 ঢাকা জেলা প্রশাসন

49

SUBJECT.....
.....
.....

Diary No. of letter replied to—

Section 67

File No. 56-20

Serial No 8

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 191 . }

No. H 5432

To

Date of issue 1st March 1921 The Deputy Commr. Bangalore

Chief Secy. Mysore

With reference to your letter no
H. C. 139 of 20-21. dated 24th Jan
1921, re the liquidation of post
loan due from the members of
Nandagudi family, I am directed
to request you to be so good
as to forward a copy of the
mortgage deed executed at the
time of sanctioning the loan
of the papers relating to the
of the business. over.

Vijaya Varma

1/3

51
Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District;

Dated Bangalore, 25th April 1921.

No.....

From

GEN & REV. SECY
27 APR 1921

M.A.Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

69819

To
M.N.Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Financial Ssecretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.Fl.5432/G.F.56-20 dated 1st March 1921 calling for a copy of the mortgage deed executed by the Inamdars of Nandagudi Family, I have the honor to state that the bond is not in this office and the Amildar of Hoskote Taluk reports that it is neither in the Hoskote Taluk. It may perhaps be either in the Comptroller's office or in the State Huzur Treasury.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

M. Shanamma

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

(Absent from station)

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

52

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section 67

File No. 56-20

Serial No. 10

General and Revenue Secretariat

the

191

Date of issue

12 May/21

Signed by

M. B. Srinivasan

No. 7657)

12 May/21

To

The Comptroller

Sir,

I am directed to ^{enquire if the} ~~request you to~~
~~be so good as to forward the~~
~~for reference, a copy of the mortgage~~
~~deed executed by the Andanis of the~~
~~Nandapudi family in Hosakote HK~~
~~for the loan sanctioned in G.O. 3544-45~~
~~dated 30-8-1914, if the same is~~
~~available either in your office~~

D

~~in the State Treasury~~
~~and if so accy. 7~~
~~the same is being~~
~~for reference to~~
~~for advice~~
over.

2
The O.P. Srinivasan
State Secy. to the
Govt. is not the
in his office in
the State of
1814

1814

29/4

10/5/21
In the Secy.

Please address all future communications
to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comm. please refer to

No. Loans 1723

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, the 22nd June 1921.

4881

DIARY No. 4765

FROM

T. Satyaraj Chetty Esq., B.A.,

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

Offg. Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of

TO

M. N. Krishna Rao

Esq., B.A.

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.F1.6577/G.F.56-20-11
dated the 12th May 1921 requesting transmission of the mortgage
deed executed by the Inamdar of Nandagudi Family for the loan of
Rs.50,000/- granted to them by Government in their order No.3544-45
R.F.192-92 dated 30th August 1894, I have the honour to forward
separately in a sealed cover the mortgage bond and the lease deed
connected therewith and to request the favour of an acknowledg-
ment for the receipt of the same.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J. A. Narayana
For Comptroller.

CV/23-6

C. O. 42-Rec.—17]

.....Department

191 .

FROM

M. N. KRISHNA RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Offg. Comptroller to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

.....Esq.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Dept.

Dated

Despatched

Received

No.....

Subject matter.

54

SUBJECT.....
.....
.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section 37
File No. 56-20
Serial No. 12

General and Revenue Secretariat
()
, the 191 .

No. JE7093

Date of issue 29 June/21

To The Commissioner
Sir,

Signed by M. B. Sreenivasulu

212 $\frac{9}{94}$
Mortgage Deed for Rs 50,000/-
Executed by Ramanna & others
of Nandagudi Family.

I am directed to acknowledge
receipt of your ~~to~~ The marginally
noted documents sent with your
letter NO. 1723 dated 23.6.21
doans

2) lease deed d. 30.9.1894
Executed by the same
members.

I have ch
18
28/6
For J. L. S. S.
lett

m

over.

Sub

A copy of this letter may
perhaps go to the Rev. Secy
for information

TSR
23/9

Yr ✓
18/2/21 ✓

"SR for the

No 2195-6 newful
8/13/10/21

The Rev. Com & the
Comptroller have not
been furnished with
~~Cover~~ Copies. Copies
may also be ordered
to be sent to them

as they deal with
Maudslayi's cases
in some form or other

TSR
24/9

6310.

1800/18 ✓
18/2/21 ✓

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. H.C. 139/20-21 dated - 4th January 1921 in the matter of the liquidation of the loan due to Government from the Inamdars of Nandagudi family, I am directed to state that in the circumstances of the case the safest course to be adopted for the early ^{recovery} ~~liquidation~~ ^{as the Govt. are unwilling} of the loan is to file ^a suit in the proper court for the recovery of the amounts due on the mortgage bond executed by the Inamdars. I am accordingly to request you to take needful ^{very careful} action in communication with the Government Advocate.

I have etc.,

17-9-21

Financial Secretary to Govt.

Copy to the Government Advocate for information.

copy to the Rev Secy for information.

TS.

11969

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

Dated Bangalore, 26th September '21.

No. *He. 139*
20-21

From

M.A. Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

M.N. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Financial Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1787/G.F.56-20 dated 21st instant, re the liquidation of the loan due to Government from the Inamdars of Nandagudi Family, I have the honor to request you to be so good as to return the file of records relating thereto together with the original mortgage deed to enable to take necessary steps to file a suit.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

M.A. Narayana Iyengar

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

1921-22

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bamangah Df

To The Financial Secretary
to Govt
Bamangah

Dated 26th Sept 1921

Despatched 270- _____

Received

General No. H.C. 139
No. 2001

Enclosure No. _____

Re: Liquidation of the loan due
to Govt from the Examiners
of Nandagudi District. —

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section 97

File No. 56-20

Serial No 15

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 191 .No. 702132 Enc 1 Pocket
of Papers

To

The Dy Commr - Bangalore

Date of issue 5/10/21

Signed by M. S. Srinivasan

Sir,

1. The Verni records
recd from your office2. The mortgage deed
in a sealed cover12.9.1994
20.9.1994~~As requested~~ I am directed to forward
herewith the marginally noted recordspertaining to the Mandans gene
Nundagudi family with the original
mortgage bond as requested in your

letter No 134 d 27-9-1921

As acknowledged is requested

I have etc

M. S.
For first copyMr 348 TFR
30/9

over.